International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

Adaptive Strategic Leadership In An Uncertain Global Order: Lessons From Contemporary World Leaders And Classical Leadership Philosophies

Satya Hangga Yudha Widya Putra
Defense Management, Defense Studies, Indonesia Defense University
Marsetio
Defense Management, Defense Studies, Indonesia Defense University
Novky Asmoro
Defense Management, Defense Studies, Indonesia Defense University

Corresponding Author: satya.putra@doktoral.idu.ac.id

Abstract

The prevailing volatility of the global order characterized by geopolitical conflicts, technological disruptions, economic fluctuations, environmental crises, and intricate international relations demands a leadership paradigm that transcends conventional strategic planning. Such a paradigm must inherently incorporate adaptability, resilience, and astute decision-making capabilities. This study aims to analyze the concept of adaptive strategic leadership by synthesizing the perspectives of contemporary world leaders with classical leadership philosophies. Employing a qualitative methodology based on library research, this study systematically reviews academic texts, national and international journal articles, and relevant scholarly publications. The findings indicate that: 1) navigating global uncertainty necessitates strategic leadership focused on anticipating change, maintaining flexibility, and executing evidence-based decision-making; 2) adaptive leadership is forged through environmental scanning acumen, crisis management, innovation development, and the reinforcement of organizational resilience; 3) the empirical experiences of contemporary global leaders highlight the critical importance of empathetic communication, decisive action, collaborative engagement, and public legitimacy; and 4) classical leadership philosophies supply a robust ethical framework grounded in wisdom, integrity, exemplary conduct, justice, and situational comprehension. Ultimately, this research concludes that adaptive strategic leadership represents a vital synthesis

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

of modern strategic competence and classical moral wisdom. Its practical application is imperative for cultivating organizations and institutions that are resilient, responsive, inclusive, and sustainable.

K*eywords: Strategic Leadership, Adaptive Leadership, Global Uncertainty, Classical Philosophy, Organizational Resilience.*

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of the 21st-century global order has introduced unprecedented levels of complexity, dynamism, and unpredictability. Contemporary challenges are no longer isolated phenomena; rather, the world confronts a nexus of interconnected crises. These encompass geopolitical tensions, international economic rivalries, interstate conflicts, climate change, energy security, digital technological disruptions, and shifting sociopolitical structures. Consequently, conventional leadership paradigms predicated on stability, hierarchical structures, and rigid long-term planning have become increasingly inadequate. Modern leaders are compelled to possess a comprehensive understanding of environmental shifts, identify strategic risks, and steer their organizations in response to continuously evolving circumstances. Therefore, uncertainty should not be perceived exclusively as a threat, but rather as a catalyst necessitating the development of organizational capacities for continuous learning, innovation, and sustainable adaptation (Adobor et al., 2021).

Strategic leadership plays a pivotal role in ensuring the viability and sustainability of organizations, governmental institutions, and nation-states. Leadership transcends the mere facilitation of goal attainment through subordinate direction; it encompasses the capacity to articulate a compelling vision, formulate robust policies, manage resources effectively, anticipate systemic shifts, and synthesize the diverse interests of multiple stakeholders. Within highly uncertain environments, leaders are required to align short-term tactical decisions with long-term strategic imperatives. Effective strategic decision-making cannot rely solely upon formal authority or historical precedent. Instead, it demands acute environmental scanning, receptivity to novel information, and the proficiency to integrate multidimensional perspectives into the decision-making framework (Singh et al., 2023).

Organizational challenges become increasingly multifaceted when confronted with simultaneous, systemic transformations. The rapid proliferation of artificial intelligence,

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

automation, the digital economy, shifting communication paradigms, and heightened global interdependence has fundamentally redefined the leadership landscape. While technological advancements present significant opportunities for enhancing productivity, service efficacy, and data-driven decision-making, they simultaneously introduce critical vulnerabilities, including skills deficits, occupational precariousness, cybersecurity threats, and the reconfiguration of power dynamics. Consequently, leadership paradigms predicated solely upon operational stability are no longer viable. Leaders must cultivate adaptability to enable their organizations to recalibrate strategies, upgrade competencies, and sustain relevance amidst dynamic environmental fluctuations (Syamsir et al., 2025).

Within this paradigm, adaptive leadership emerges as a highly pertinent framework to augment traditional strategic leadership. Rather than coercing solutions through established protocols, adaptive leadership fosters collective learning, innovation, stakeholder engagement, and organizational agility. It is imperative for leaders to discern between technical problems which can be remediated utilizing preexisting knowledge and standard operating procedures and adaptive challenges, which necessitate profound shifts in cognitive frameworks, core values, behavioral patterns, and relational dynamics. Such discernment is critical, as contemporary global challenges frequently transcend conventional administrative interventions, requiring comprehensive transformations in both individual and institutional capacities. Ultimately, adaptive leadership empowers organizations to cultivate enduring resilience through continuous, iterative learning processes (Sott & Sott Bender, 2025).

Contemporary global leadership paradigms indicate that the efficacy of crisis management is contingent not merely upon institutional robustness and resource allocation, but equally upon a leader's character, communication strategies, and capacity to cultivate public trust. The tenure of Jacinda Ardern during the COVID-19 pandemic serves as a salient exemplar, underscoring the critical importance of leadership frameworks that synthesize policy decisiveness with social empathy. Transparent and consistent communication that is responsive to societal conditions serves to reinforce the legitimacy of executive decisions, thereby maximizing public compliance and catalyzing collective action. A fundamental implication is that strategic decision-making must retain its humanitarian dimension. Leaders who adeptly comprehend societal needs establish a more resilient social foundation for policy implementation, which is particularly vital when such directives mandate extensive behavioral modifications (Van Wart et al., 2022).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

Beyond empathetic communication, effective crisis leadership necessitates the maintenance of a delicate equilibrium among decisiveness, transparency, and stakeholder participation. When organizations are subjected to acute pressure, leaders are frequently confronted with the dichotomy of executing rapid decisions versus facilitating adequate consultation and deliberation. Highly centralized decision-making paradigms may accelerate responsiveness, yet they inherently risk marginalizing the insights and interests of pertinent stakeholders. Conversely, protracted consultative processes can critically obstruct the agility required in emergency scenarios. Therefore, adaptive strategic leadership requires the discernment to calibrate the degree of decision-making centralization in accordance with the specific characteristics, urgency, and projected impact of the crisis (Haavisto & Linge, 2022).

Another equally crucial dimension is organizational resilience in the face of unpredictable crises. Resilience transcends the mere capacity to withstand disruptions; it encompasses the ability to anticipate threats, absorb pressures, restore functionalities, and undergo renewal subsequent to environmental shifts. Within this theoretical framework, strategic leaders are tasked with cultivating organizational systems that avoid over-reliance on any single individual, resource, or decision-making paradigm. Consequently, organizations require a judicious distribution of authority, cross-functional communication, competency enhancement, and the agility to reconfigure resources in alignment with environmental exigencies. Furthermore, resilience-oriented leadership must adeptly navigate the inherent paradox of balancing the imperative for organizational continuity with the necessity for transformative change (Förster et al., 2022).

Despite the proliferation of diverse modern leadership paradigms, philosophical and moral dimensions endure as fundamental determinants of leadership quality. Strategic intelligence, when devoid of integrity, may yield decisions that are technically proficient yet deleterious to the public welfare. Similarly, the capacity to influence others without a foundational ethical orientation risks devolving into the mere manipulation of power. Classical leadership philosophies posit that a leader's efficacy is not predicated solely upon intellectual acumen, situational control, or formal authority, but is equally contingent upon moral integrity, wisdom, equity, and societal responsibility. For instance, Confucian thought underscores moral exemplarity and relational responsibility as indispensable components within the nexus of leadership and organizational dynamics (Niedenführ & Hennig, 2022).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

Consequently, the evolution of adaptive strategic leadership necessitates a synthesis of modern management paradigms and classical leadership philosophies. It is no longer sufficient for leaders to solely possess the acumen for environmental analysis and strategy formulation; they must also integrate humanistic values, ethical implications, the welfare of future generations, and the equilibrium of social dynamics into their decision-making. Within a volatile global landscape, wisdom emerges as the proficiency to execute appropriate actions informed by contextual realities, empirical data, and accountable moral principles. This paradigm emphasizes the imperative of a leadership model that transcends the cult of the "strong leader," advocating instead for the cultivation of collective capacity and robust governance frameworks resilient to systemic transformations (Garretsen & Stoker, 2025).

The problematics of this study are rooted in the persistent dichotomy between the demand for strategic leadership amid global uncertainty and prevailing leadership practices, which predominantly favor short-term resolutions, power centralization, and reactive crisis management. Moreover, contemporary leadership discourse frequently isolates adaptability, organizational resilience, and strategic decision-making from their classical philosophical and moral underpinnings. This condition delineates a distinct research gap: the inadequate integration of strategic competencies, adaptive capabilities, the empirical experiences of contemporary global leaders, and philosophical wisdom. Therefore, it is imperative for this research to construct a more holistic leadership paradigm. The objective is to develop a conceptual framework for adaptive strategic leadership that is inherently responsive, ethical, collaborative, and oriented toward sustainability in navigating an unpredictable global order.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative approach, specifically utilizing a library research design. This methodological framework was selected because the primary objective is not to quantify leadership behavior through empirical field data collection, but rather to comprehend, interpret, compare, and construct conceptual paradigms regarding adaptive strategic leadership in the context of global uncertainty. Furthermore, library research enables the development of a profound conceptual understanding through the systematic examination of various theoretical frameworks, philosophical perspectives, preceding empirical studies, and contemporary global leadership phenomena. The analytical process emphasizes the interrelationships among the concepts of strategic leadership, adaptive leadership, organizational resilience, decision-making

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

processes, and leadership ethics. Consequently, the findings are anticipated to generate a conceptual synthesis that elucidates the development and application of adaptive strategic leadership within complex and continuously evolving environments (Creswell & Creswell, 2023).

The research data are derived from both primary and secondary sources that are highly pertinent to the focal area of study. Primary sources encompass academic literature on strategic leadership, leadership theories, leadership philosophy, and classical strategic thought, as well as publications detailing contemporary leadership experiences. Secondary sources comprise national and international scientific journal articles, prior research, and academic publications addressing global uncertainty, crisis leadership, organizational adaptability, leadership communication, and institutional resilience. To ensure the currency and relevance of the intellectual discourse, the literature search prioritized publications disseminated between 2021 and 2025, although classical concepts were still incorporated and analyzed through the lens of contemporary academic studies. The selection of these sources was rigorously predicated upon substantive relevance, publisher reputation, journal credibility, the accuracy of bibliographic information, and the online traceability of the publications (Creswell & Poth, 2023).

Data collection was conducted through systematic documentation and literature retrieval based on the research themes. The researcher identified academic materials utilizing publisher catalogs, library catalogs, scientific journal platforms, Google Scholar, Crossref, and university repositories. The search keywords encompassed strategic leadership, adaptive leadership, global uncertainty, leadership resilience, crisis leadership, classical leadership philosophy, and organizational adaptability. For national sources, the equivalent localized terms utilized were strategic leadership, adaptive leadership, crisis leadership, organizational resilience, and leadership philosophy. The retrieved literature was subsequently categorized according to thematic relevance, publication year, publication type, and its contribution to the research problem. The extracted information included author identity, publication year, title, publisher or journal, theoretical framework, research approach, primary findings, and conceptual implications. The documentation process was executed through comprehensive bibliographic recording to ensure the authenticity and publication traceability of the utilized sources (Evanirosa et al., 2022).

The data analysis technique employed content analysis integrated with descriptive-critical analysis and conceptual synthesis. The analytical procedure commenced with the identification of core ideas within each literature source, followed by the categorization of information into

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

four primary themes: the uncertainty of the global order and the challenges of strategic leadership, the capacity for adaptive strategic leadership, the experiences of contemporary global leaders, and the relevance of classical leadership philosophy. Subsequently, the researcher compared the similarities and differences in perspectives across the sources to identify underlying patterns, conceptual relationships, and theoretical gaps. The classified information was critically interpreted to generate a comprehensive understanding of the interrelations among strategic vision, adaptability, organizational resilience, moral wisdom, and decision-making. Finally, the analytical findings were synthesized into a conceptual construct of adaptive strategic leadership capable of elucidating leadership requisites within a volatile global environment (Gunawan, 2021).

Data validity was established through source triangulation, publication credibility assessment, argumentative consistency, and cross-verification of bibliographic information. Source triangulation was conducted by comparing concepts derived from academic books, international and national journal articles, and research publications addressing similar issues. Credibility assessment involved verifying official publisher websites, journal identities, volume and issue numbers, publication years, ISBNs, and DOIs, where available. Information regarding the experiences of contemporary leaders was also examined by ensuring alignment between research interpretations and empirical findings documenting these leadership phenomena. Sources with unverifiable bibliographic identities were excluded from forming the basis of primary arguments. Furthermore, interpretative validity was maintained by ensuring a consistent relationship among the literature data, the theoretical framework, and the research conclusions (Creswell & Poth, 2023).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Adaptive Strategic Leadership in Navigating the Complexity and Uncertainty of the Global Order

Global uncertainty constitutes a primary characteristic of the contemporary strategic environment, necessitating that leaders develop capabilities that transcend conventional management approaches. Organizational environments no longer operate under relatively stable conditions where changes can be predicted through historical patterns and addressed using predetermined procedures. Technological advancements, economic competition, geopolitical conflicts, shifts in international policies, and increasing interdependence among nations

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

collectively forge a highly complex landscape. Under these conditions, decisions made within one sector can precipitate consequences in others. For instance, alterations in economic policy can impact social stability, food security, trade relations, and national security. Consequently, leaders require systemic thinking skills to comprehend that every issue is an integral component of an interconnected ecosystem.

Research indicates that adaptive strategic leadership diverges from traditional paradigms that predominantly emphasize the formulation of long-term plans. While strategic leadership inherently necessitates a clear organizational vision, direction, and definitive objectives, its execution must be coupled with the capacity to recalibrate strategies in response to environmental fluctuations. Consequently, strategy should not be conceptualized as a static document, but rather as a dynamic framework for action that is subject to continuous evaluation and revision in alignment with situational developments. Leaders are required to identify potential disruptions to goal attainment, establish strategic priorities, and steer the organization toward formulating appropriate responses. Through this approach, leadership efficacy is measured not merely by strict adherence to an initial blueprint, but by the precision of implementing necessary adaptations while maintaining the organization's overarching trajectory.

Within the context of strategic leadership, systemic thinking serves as a fundamental basis for comprehending the interplay between an organization's internal and external environments. Peter S. DeLisi elucidates the significance of a systems approach in strategic leadership by conceptualizing the organization as a cohesive entity constructed upon the interdependent relationships among individuals, groups, structures, and organizational processes. This paradigm posits that an organization cannot optimally achieve its objectives if its constituent parts operate solely in pursuit of isolated interests. Therefore, leaders must foster cross-functional integration and ensure that executive decisions account for their potential ramifications across the entire system. Amidst global uncertainty, a systemic cognitive framework enables leaders to discern obscured cause-and-effect relationships, thereby preventing the implementation of compartmentalized policies that could inadvertently precipitate novel organizational challenges (DeLisi, 2021).

Uncertainty inherently subjects leaders to informational constraints during the decision-making process. Given that not all environmental shifts can be anticipated and risks cannot always be quantified with absolute precision, adaptive strategic leadership necessitates the cultivation of decision-making capabilities under conditions of imperfect information. Leaders

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

must refrain from delaying action until comprehensive data is available, particularly when such procrastination exacerbates risk. Nevertheless, the decisiveness to act must be counterbalanced by rigorous evaluation mechanisms, risk management protocols, and the agility to revise policies in light of emerging data. This paradigm illustrates that strategic leadership requires a delicate equilibrium between prudence and operational velocity. Consequently, an effective decision is not necessarily the most expedited one, but rather one that judiciously synthesizes organizational objectives, the degree of urgency, potential risk factors, and implementation capacity.

Darko Tipurić articulates that strategic leadership is inextricably linked to the dynamics of power, influence, legitimacy, organizational culture, and executive responsibility. Such leadership manifests a positive dimension when utilized to chart organizational direction, fortify institutional capacity, and generate shared value. Conversely, it harbors detrimental potential when power is exercised excessively, decisions lack accountability, and personal interests supersede organizational imperatives. Within a volatile global landscape, leaders must recognize that external environmental pressures can exacerbate the propensity for authoritarian decision-making. Therefore, the enhancement of strategic capabilities must proceed in tandem with the regulation of power, transparency, and accountability (Tipurić, 2022).

Empirical findings further reveal that adaptive strategic leadership requires an equilibrium between short-term and long-term orientations. A disproportionate focus on crisis mitigation may precipitate a deviation from the organization's overarching developmental trajectory. Conversely, a rigid adherence to long-term objectives, bereft of attention to immediate crises, can result in an organizational inability to effectively manage emergencies. Consequently, leaders must adeptly synchronize these dual orientations. Crisis response mechanisms must be implemented without compromising organizational capacity building, while long-term strategies must remain sufficiently malleable to accommodate ongoing environmental fluctuations.Garretsen and Stoker (2025) elucidate that turbulence manifests across multiple levels, ranging from individual and organizational shifts to systemic transformations within society and international relations. The uncertainty confronted by leaders does not invariably emerge as a sudden crisis; rather, it can also manifest as gradual structural changes. Distinguishing between these phenomena is crucial, as managing an acute crisis necessitates swift intervention, whereas navigating systemic change requires long-term strategies, policy consistency, and the capacity to cultivate collective support. This reinforces the assertion that

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

leaders must accurately identify the nature of the change before formulating an appropriate leadership approach.

Within the Indonesian context, strategic leadership challenges are inextricably linked to the evolving security environment and advancements in defense technology. Globalization has dismantled numerous traditional boundaries in international relations, engendering novel threats that do not necessarily involve direct military confrontation. Cyber threats, information warfare, hybrid conflicts, and technological competition demand leadership capabilities adept at integrating diverse strategic resources. Consequently, defense policies must cultivate anticipatory capacities, foster cross-institutional coordination, and enhance both technological and human resource competencies. Strategic leadership must ensure that defense policies are oriented toward long-term imperatives rather than merely functioning as reactive measures to immediate threats (Ningsih et al., 2022).

Accordingly, the research findings on the initial theme substantiate that global uncertainty necessitates leadership equipped with systemic thinking, the aptitude to interpret strategic environments, prioritize objectives, manage risks, and balance short-term interests with long-term goals. Adaptive strategic leadership does not negate the necessity for vision and planning; instead, it renders them more flexible and responsive. Leaders must be capable of sustaining the fundamental objectives of the organization while simultaneously updating the methodologies to achieve them in alignment with environmental developments. Within this framework, adaptation is not synonymous with aimless alteration, but rather constitutes a planned, evidence-based, and accountable transformation.

Capacities, Competencies, and Characteristics of Adaptive Strategic Leadership in Cultivating Organizational Resilience

Research findings demonstrate that adaptive strategic leadership is not solely derived from formal designations or structural authority, but rather emerges from a synthesis of intellectual competence, managerial proficiency, social intelligence, decisiveness, and moral integrity. Although a leader may possess significant authority, this does not intrinsically guarantee the aptitude to interpret environmental shifts and formulate effective organizational responses. Consequently, adaptive strategic leadership must be conceptualized as a dynamic capacity that is continuously cultivated through learning, experiential practice, critical evaluation, and active engagement in resolving complex challenges.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

The foundational competency in this paradigm is the formulation of a strategic vision that is simultaneously pragmatic and forward-looking. This vision transcends a mere depiction of an idealized future state; it functions as a critical framework for guiding decision-making, resource allocation, and the trajectory of organizational transformation. In contexts of uncertainty, a strategic vision necessitates definitive objectives while retaining flexibility regarding the mechanisms of execution. Leaders are required to articulate the rationale for organizational change, specify the requisite transformations, and delineate the prospective benefits derived from this process. An effectively communicated vision fosters collective awareness and mitigates internal ambiguity during periods of organizational transition.

Peter G. Northouse conceptualizes leadership as an interactive process encompassing influence, leader-follower dynamics, the pursuit of shared objectives, and the broader social context in which these interactions occur. This paradigm underscores that leadership is inextricably linked to the quality of interpersonal relationships and the facilitation of collaborative efforts. Within the framework of adaptive strategic leadership, a vision acquires significance only when operationalized into collective commitment. Leaders must adeptly align organizational objectives with the needs of constituents, cultivate bidirectional communication, and ensure that each individual comprehensively understands their role within the transitional phase. Ultimately, the vision transcends a mere formal declaration, evolving into a collective orientation that directs organizational behavior (Northouse, 2025).

The second competency is the capacity for sensemaking, or the cognitive construction of situational awareness. Within complex environments, available information is frequently incomplete, contradictory, and subject to rapid fluctuation. Consequently, leaders must cultivate the aptitude to interpret data, identify strategically relevant elements, and articulate the significance of these changes to the broader organization. The sensemaking process facilitates organizational members' comprehension of the correlation between external shifts and internal dynamics. This ensures that personnel do not merely execute directives but fundamentally grasp the rationale underlying executive decisions. Such a capability is particularly imperative when an organization necessitates collective action predicated upon modifications in behavior and institutional habits.

The third competency pertains to anticipatory proficiency, commonly conceptualized as strategic foresight. Leaders are required to construct potential future scenarios and systematically evaluate their organizational implications. This proficiency does not denote the

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

precise prediction of future events; rather, it involves cultivating organizational readiness for a multiplicity of potential outcomes. By delineating optimistic, moderate, and pessimistic scenarios, organizations can rigorously assess diverse strategic alternatives. Through this methodological approach, leaders avoid the constraint of a singular future assumption, thereby formulating alternative courses of action that can be operationalized as circumstances evolve. Furthermore, scenario planning enables organizations to empirically test policy resilience prior to encountering actual environmental stressors.

The fourth competency encompasses the capability for adaptive decision-making. Strategic leaders rarely encounter problems with definitive, singular solutions. In the majority of instances, leadership must arbitrate among various alternatives, each bearing distinct consequences and inherent risks. Therefore, the decision-making process must be substantiated by empirical data, professional experience, subject-matter expertise, organizational values, and stakeholder interests. Formulating adaptive decisions demands the fortitude to implement strategic pivots when current approaches fail to yield the anticipated impact. Nevertheless, such reversals in decision-making must not be executed arbitrarily, as this risks eroding institutional trust. Leaders are obligated to transparently communicate the rationale for the strategic shift, the informational basis prompting the change, and the prospective consequences.

The fifth competency involves the capacity to foster innovation and organizational learning. Organizations that lack a prominent learning culture are prone to repeating errors and perpetuating obsolete procedures. Conversely, learning organizations utilize empirical experiences as a foundational asset for continuous improvement. It is imperative for leaders to cultivate an environment that facilitates knowledge exchange, responsible experimentation, rigorous policy evaluation, and competency development. Furthermore, a robust learning culture necessitates receptiveness to constructive criticism and the objective recognition that prior decisions may require refinement. Amidst global uncertainty, the organizational capacity to learn transcends the mere preservation of historical successes.

The sixth competency pertains to the ability to cultivate collaboration and distribute authority. Given the complexity of contemporary global challenges, it is unfeasible for a single leader to navigate all decision-making imperatives unilaterally. Organizations require the integration of knowledge and expertise across diverse units, disciplines, and stakeholders. Therefore, adaptive leadership must facilitate the emergence of collective leadership paradigms. The delegation of authority does not imply an abdication of executive responsibility; rather, it

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

serves as a strategic mechanism to enhance the celerity and efficacy of organizational responses. This distribution of responsibility must be underpinned by role clarity, robust coordination mechanisms, stringent accountability standards, and comprehensive evaluation systems, thereby enabling each constituent unit to execute its functions optimally.

The seventh competency encompasses emotional and social intelligence. Leaders navigating uncertainty are tasked with addressing not only technical challenges but also anxiety, distrust, divergent interests, and internal conflicts. Organizational change frequently engenders resistance, as members may perceive a loss of comfort, authority, or future predictability. Under such circumstances, it is imperative for leaders to possess the capacity to comprehend individual emotions and needs, manage conflicts effectively, and cultivate a work environment predicated on mutual respect. Consequently, emotional intelligence facilitates the maintenance of social stability while ensuring the successful implementation of change initiatives.

The eighth competency is resilience, or resilience leadership. Leadership resilience is fundamentally associated with the capacity to maintain cognitive clarity, steadfastness of values, and consistency of objectives when subjected to pressure. A resilient leader is not characterized by an absence of adversity, but rather by the ability to manage stress without compromising rational situational assessment. Furthermore, resilience must be institutionalized at the organizational level through the implementation of flexible operational systems, resource diversification, decentralized decision-making mechanisms, and the capacity to restore functionality following disruptions.The ninth competency involves strategic communication. Communication serves as a critical mechanism for translating vision into actionable steps and aligning strategic decisions with stakeholder comprehension. Leaders are required to articulate information with clarity, elucidate the rationale behind policies, facilitate dialogic engagement, and cultivate trust. Inconsistent communication may engender ambiguity and exacerbate resistance to organizational change. Conversely, transparent communication empowers organizational members to comprehend prevailing circumstances and identify their potential contributions. Furthermore, strategic communication must be calibrated to the specific characteristics of the target demographic to ensure that information is not merely disseminated, but comprehensively assimilated.

The tenth competency encompasses integrity and accountability. Within highly volatile environments, leaders encounter multifaceted dilemmas where decisions may not yield simultaneous benefits for all stakeholders. Consequently, integrity is imperative as a foundational

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

principle to guarantee that decisions are not exclusively driven by power dynamics or short-term profitability. Leaders must possess the fortitude to assume responsibility for their decisions, articulate the ramifications of their policies, and exhibit a willingness to implement corrective measures when errors are identified. Moreover, accountability necessitates transparency in the allocation of resources and the rigorous evaluation of policy outcomes.

Research findings indicate that adaptive leadership capacity is directly correlated with organizational resilience. Organizations equipped with adaptive leaders demonstrate greater preparedness in adjusting policies in response to environmental fluctuations. However, this capacity cannot be cultivated by relying exclusively on the individual traits of leaders. Organizations necessitate comprehensive systems that facilitate learning, information exchange, innovation, and coordination. Resilience must be conceptualized as an institutional attribute embedded within organizational culture and processes. Consequently, leadership transitions do not inadvertently compromise the organization's capability to navigate change.

The findings of Gavalas (2023/2024) regarding the Complex Adaptive Leadership Readiness Framework underscore the significance of organizational readiness as a crucial mediating factor between adaptive leadership practices and organizational performance. The capacity for adaptation cannot be adequately evaluated solely through a leader's behavioral traits; it must also encompass the preparedness of the organization's systems, structures, professional relationships, and culture to respond to dynamic shifts. This implies that organizations must assess the extent to which coordination mechanisms, competency development, learning processes, and institutional flexibility facilitate change. Such adaptive readiness constitutes a critical determinant in the establishment of long-term resilience (Gavalas, 2024).

Accordingly, the research findings pertaining to the second theme indicate that adaptive strategic leadership constitutes a multidimensional construct. This capacity encompasses strategic vision, environmental scanning, anticipatory proficiency, decision-making, innovation, organizational learning, collaboration, emotional intelligence, communication, resilience, integrity, and accountability. It is imperative that these competencies are systematically integrated into the organizational framework to prevent them from remaining mere individual traits of the leader. Ultimately, adaptive leadership transcends mere crisis response; it cultivates the organizational capability for sustained development in the aftermath of a crisis.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

Lessons Learned from Contemporary Global Leaders: Visionary Leadership, Strategic Decisiveness, Empathy, and Collaboration

The research findings reveal that the empirical experiences of contemporary global leaders provide a concrete illustration of the application of adaptive strategic leadership in navigating complex transformations. The trajectories of these leaders demonstrate that leadership efficacy cannot be evaluated solely on the capacity to control circumstances, but must also account for the ability to foster trust, mobilize the public, enhance institutional coordination, and sustain the legitimacy of decisions. Because each leader possesses a distinct character, background, and governance context, leadership practices inherently defy standardization. Nevertheless, several foundational principles can be abstracted, particularly those concerning situational awareness, the formulation of strategic direction, effective communication, the facilitation of collaboration, and the calibration of decisiveness with moral responsibility.

In contemporary leadership paradigms, the figure of the leader should not be conceptualized as the sole locus of organizational capability. Instead, strategic leaders are required to construct systems that facilitate individual and group contributions toward the attainment of shared objectives. Leadership efficacy is manifested through the proficiency to mobilize human resources, cultivate trust, foster professional relationships, and develop a productive organizational culture. Furthermore, leaders must possess the capacity to shift societal orientation from a dependency on specific figures toward a broader awareness of the significance of collective responsibility. Consequently, adaptive strategic leadership places greater emphasis on the cultivation of shared capacity rather than the mere reinforcement of personal dominance.

James Kouzes and Barry Posner conceptualize leadership as a relational process established through modeling the way, inspiring a shared vision, challenging the process, enabling others to act, and encouraging the heart. These five practices provide a foundational understanding that organizational change cannot be achieved solely through top-down directives. Leaders must initially demonstrate a strict consistency between articulated values and actual behaviors, subsequently fostering the conviction that change can yield a more prosperous future. When organizational members feel engaged and are entrusted with confidence, they tend to exhibit a stronger sense of responsibility toward the success of the transformational initiatives. This perspective is highly relevant for global leaders navigating social, political, and economic

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

pressures, as trust serves as a critical asset in the execution of strategic policies (Kouzes & Posner, 2023).

A pertinent case study in the analysis of contemporary leadership is the tenure of Jacinda Ardern as the Prime Minister of New Zealand. Ardern's governance during the COVID-19 pandemic underscores the critical importance of public communication that is empathetic, consistent, and oriented toward societal protection. In navigating states of emergency, governments require not only stringent health policies and social restrictions but also the capacity to articulate the rationale behind such measures and to cultivate collective awareness. Ardern's leadership demonstrates that policy decisiveness can effectively coexist with a humanistic communication approach. Within the realm of leadership, empathy does not signify an absence of resolve; rather, it ensures that decisive actions are implemented with due consideration for the prevailing circumstances and human dignity.

Van Wart et al. (2022) examined Ardern's leadership through the lens of Social Change Leadership, revealing that her approach integrates elements of compassion, empowerment, and a commitment to social transformation. However, the study also highlights that the presence of positive leadership values does not inherently guarantee the fulfillment of all governmental performance objectives. Consequently, empathetic leadership must be critically assessed based on tangible policy outcomes, the consistency of implementation, and the successful realization of public goals. This underscores a vital lesson: adaptive strategic leadership should not be evaluated solely on communication efficacy or public image, but must be inextricably linked to the substantive impact of the enacted policies.

An additional insight pertains to the utilization of collective narratives to foster societal solidarity. Rhetoric that conceptualizes the populace as a unified entity confronting a crisis is instrumental in forging a shared identity and enhancing public willingness to participate. In this context, leadership extends beyond merely dictating necessary actions; it involves constructing a collective rationale for why such actions are imperative. Hafner and Sun's (2021) investigation into the discourse surrounding New Zealand's leadership during the pandemic indicates that leadership narratives are co-constructed through the interplay among the government, the leader, the media, and the public. Therefore, leadership functions as a complex social process cultivated through multi-stakeholder communication and interaction, rather than being the sole product of an individual leader's rhetoric.In addition to Ardern, the tenure of Volodymyr Zelenskyy as President of Ukraine provides a profound illustration of the significance of strategic

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

leadership amidst armed conflict and national crises. The drastic deterioration of the security environment following the Russian invasion in February 2022 engendered immense pressure on the administration, civil society, and the very survival of the state. Under such circumstances, effective leadership necessitates the capacity to sustain governmental coordination, establish robust national communication, mobilize international support, and articulate the nation's stance to the global community. This paradigm demonstrates that contemporary conflicts are not waged solely through military force, but also through information competition, diplomacy, and the shaping of international perceptions.

A study by Morini and Chiapponi (2025), published online ahead of print, examines Zelenskyy's employment of political rhetoric in response to the Russian invasion as an instrument of crisis management. Their analysis underscores the critical importance of narrative framing, the construction of collective identity, and the utilization of political communication to elucidate the state's position amidst the conflict. The primary implication of this case is that strategic communication serves functions that transcend mere information dissemination; it is vital for sustaining national morale, cultivating political support, and fortifying diplomatic standing. Nevertheless, strategic communication must still adhere to informational accuracy, ethical considerations, and accountability regarding policy outcomes. Consequently, adaptive leadership must adeptly integrate communication efforts with institutional strategies and broader national interests.

Research conducted by Moch and Said (2022) concerning Indonesia's crisis leadership strategies amidst global challenges for economic recovery elucidates the utilization of a combination of transactional and transformational leadership elements within President Joko Widodo's administrative practices. The study identifies leader responsiveness, cultural empowerment, and performance management as characteristics associated with the transactional approach. Conversely, motivational encouragement and problem-solving mechanisms are indicative of transformational characteristics. These findings impart the lesson that strategic leadership in the face of economic shifts requires an equilibrium between performance regulation and the capacity to catalyze transformation. Nevertheless, it is imperative to interpret this study within its specific research context and methodological parameters; thus, it should not be construed as an exhaustive evaluation of all governmental facets.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

Empirical research conducted by Oruh et al. (2021) examining compassionate managerial leadership amidst occupational stressors during the COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria underscores that empathy toward employee welfare constitutes a fundamental component of crisis management. The study elucidates the necessity for leaders to comprehend the anxiety, health vulnerabilities, job insecurity, and escalated workloads endured by organizational members. Leadership paradigms that prioritize human-centric concerns facilitate the mitigation of organizational pressures and the preservation of professional relations. These findings imply that adaptive capacity is inextricably linked not only to strategic business recalibrations but also to a leader's proficiency in anticipating and managing the social implications of organizational directives.

Adaptive strategic leadership necessitates a rigorous focus on transparency and participatory decision-making paradigms. Centralized decision-making, when executed without the adequate dissemination of information to organizational constituents, frequently engenders miscomprehension and resistance. Conversely, meticulously managed participation enables an organization to leverage localized knowledge across various institutional strata. Consequently, leaders must cultivate an equilibrium between authoritative decision-making and the provision of avenues for members to articulate insights and deliberations. Such a balance is imperative to ensure that decision-making processes remain efficient while appropriately addressing the aspirations of affected stakeholders.

In their examination of Nordic leadership within the hospitality sector, Haavisto and Linge (2022) demonstrate that transparency, leadership presence, and participatory communication are instrumental in fostering trust and mutual comprehension during crises. Furthermore, their investigation highlights the inherent challenges of reconciling centralized decision control with the autonomy of middle management and the engagement of the broader workforce. A critical deduction from this research is that participatory leadership inherently requires unambiguous delineations of authority. Participation does not imply universal consensus on all directives; rather, it dictates that the policy formulation process must facilitate communication and deliberation commensurate with organizational imperatives.

Findings pertaining to the third research theme assert that the empirical experiences of contemporary global leaders yield four primary lessons. First, effective leadership demands a lucid vision and the proficiency to establish strategic direction. Second, decisiveness in decision-making must be coupled with transparent and empathetic communication. Third, successfully

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

navigating crises requires extensive collaboration, coordination, and multi-stakeholder participation. Fourth, leadership efficacy should be evaluated through the lens of policy impact, integrity, legitimacy, and the capacity to construct robust institutional frameworks. Thus, examining contemporary leaders is not intended to promote the wholesale replication of specific figures, but rather to distill foundational leadership principles that can be adapted to specific organizational and societal paradigms.

The Integration of Classical Leadership Philosophies: Wisdom, Role Modeling, Ethics, and Strategic Intelligence

The research findings indicate that adaptive strategic leadership necessitates a philosophical foundation capable of guiding the responsible application of power and strategic competencies. While advancements in technology, modern management, and organizational theory have yielded diverse instruments to optimize leadership efficacy, technical proficiency does not inherently equate to moral rectitude. A leader may successfully attain organizational objectives via efficient strategies; however, such decisions are not intrinsically equitable or socially beneficial. Consequently, classical leadership philosophies maintain their profound relevance, as they address fundamental inquiries concerning the ultimate purpose of power, the moral integrity of the leader, prudence in decision-making, and the overarching responsibility toward the collective welfare.

Classical thought establishes the foundational premise that leadership transcends the mere capacity to influence others; it fundamentally concerns how such influence is exercised. Authority must be directed toward achieving accountable objectives rather than serving personal interests. A leader requires the acumen to comprehend complex situations while rigorously upholding moral principles in their decision-making processes. Within this paradigm, classical philosophy offers a perspective that substantially enriches modern leadership theories, particularly when organizations encounter pressures that might otherwise compel leaders to act without regard for ethical and social ramifications.

In his examination of The Three Faces of Sun Tzu, Scott A. Boorman elucidates that Sun Tzu's philosophy in The Art of War can be comprehended through the strategic analysis of information, competitive advantage, flexibility, situational management, and the adaptability of actions in response to environmental fluctuations. This framework demonstrates that strategic efficacy is not exclusively predicated on the sheer magnitude of power, but rather on the astute

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

comprehension of circumstances and the optimal allocation of resources. Within the context of adaptive strategic leadership, these principles are highly pertinent for cultivating the capacity to assess situations, evaluate organizational capabilities, identify potential risks, and determine the optimal timing for action. Nevertheless, the integration of Sun Tzu's philosophy into modern organizational frameworks necessitates critical oversight to prevent strategic maneuvers from degenerating into rationalizations for manipulation or the unethical exercise of power (Boorman, 2024).

A cardinal principle within Sun Tzu's philosophy asserts that leaders must attain a comprehensive understanding of themselves, their counterparts, and their operational environment prior to formulating a strategy. This maxim directly translates into the imperative for conducting rigorous internal and external organizational analyses. It is crucial for leaders to identify the organization's strengths, weaknesses, limitations, and overall capacities, while simultaneously monitoring environmental dynamics that could impact the realization of strategic objectives. Decision-making executed in the absence of such contextual awareness risks the misallocation of resources and significantly amplifies the probability of failure.

The subsequent principle pertains to strategic flexibility. Within classical strategic thought, actions ought not to be rigidly prescribed without accounting for situational shifts. Leaders must discern when to maintain a strategy, when to implement modifications, and when to circumvent actions that yield no utility. In the context of modern organizations, this principle aligns with adaptive management approaches, which integrate evaluation and adjustment as inherent components of strategy. Leaders must possess unequivocal objectives while remaining receptive to novel methodologies for their attainment.

Furthermore, Sun Tzu's philosophy underscores the critical importance of information management prior to decision-making. Accurate information facilitates a leader's comprehension of environmental conditions and mitigates uncertainty. Translated into a modern paradigm, this principle manifests through the utilization of data analytics, strategic intelligence, risk mapping, and the development of institutional information systems. However, information must not be exploited merely to consolidate a leader's dominance. Rather, it must serve as the foundation for accountable policymaking, functioning to safeguard the interests of both the organization and the broader society.

This philosophical framework also posits that strategic triumph is not invariably achieved through confrontation. Within modern leadership, this concept holds significant relevance for

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

conflict resolution via negotiation, diplomacy, mediation, and the cultivation of partnerships. Prudent leaders do not default to confrontation when more efficacious alternative resolutions are available. The capacity to avert superfluous conflict conserves resources and preserves long-term relationships. Consequently, a robust strategy encompasses not merely the ability to prevail in competition, but equally the proficiency to foster conditions conducive to the realization of mutual interests.

In addition to Sun Tzu, Confucian philosophy offers a substantial contribution to the development of ethically oriented leadership. Confucianism posits moral virtue, exemplary conduct, social responsibility, and harmonious relationships as the foundational elements of communal existence. Within the realm of leadership, an individual must first cultivate their own personal qualities before exacting adherence to the same values from organizational members. Exemplary leadership wields significant influence, as constituents observe not only the directives issued but also the tangible actions undertaken by their leader.

Niedenführ and Hennig (2022) elucidate the intersection of Confucianism and management ethics by emphasizing the significance of moral character and social dynamics within organizational contexts. This paradigm posits that organizations transcend formal administrative structures; rather, they function as human communities predicated on mutual responsibility, trust, and relational norms. Within the framework of adaptive strategic leadership, this philosophy establishes the foundational premise that organizational change must not compromise the integrity of social relations. Leaders are thus tasked with cultivating adaptable organizations while preserving mutual respect, accountability, and interpersonal care.

The Confucian value of ren embodies the fundamental principles of humanity and altruistic concern for others. In the realm of leadership, this ethos compels executives to critically evaluate the human impact of their decisions, both internally and externally. Consequently, strategic policies must be assessed not solely on the metrics of financial profitability, administrative efficiency, or enhanced productivity, but equally on their implications for human welfare and dignity. Leaders guided by this humanistic orientation endeavor to comprehend the needs of their constituents, safeguard vulnerable demographics, and ensure that organizational transformations do not precipitate unwarranted inequities.

The principle of zhi pertains to wisdom, whereas xin is associated with trust and consistency. These dual values furnish a foundational framework for leaders to synthesize situational comprehension with the cultivation of trust. A judicious leader transcends mere

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

information acquisition, demonstrating the capacity to leverage knowledge to determine appropriate strategic actions. Concurrently, a credible leader exhibits strict alignment among their rhetoric, decision-making processes, and policy implementation. In organizations navigating transitions, trust functions as pivotal social capital, as members must be willing to adhere to directives that may necessitate personal sacrifices and behavioral modifications.

Research conducted by Ramachandran et al. (2024) on compassionate leadership underscores the critical importance of empathy, open communication, inclusivity, integrity, respect, and a commitment to human well-being. These findings substantiate the nexus between classical leadership philosophies and the imperatives of contemporary organizations. Effective leadership must simultaneously preserve the dignity of organizational members and maintain the efficacy required to achieve collective objectives. Therefore, empathy is conceptualized not merely as a personal attribute, but as a core leadership capacity that can be systematically cultivated through organizational culture, policy frameworks, and managerial practices.

Moreover, classical philosophy serves as a cautionary framework against the propensity of leaders to abuse power. Under organizational pressure, leaders may be incentivized to prioritize compliance over participation, consolidate control rather than foster trust, and fixate on outcomes at the expense of ethical methodologies. Although this paradigm may generate immediate results, it inherently jeopardizes long-term relational dynamics and erodes leadership legitimacy. Thus, adaptive leadership must be institutionalized through mechanisms that constrain executive power and mandate continuous ethical evaluation.Harris and Jones (2023) underscore the critical relevance of compassionate leadership in navigating the multifaceted social and organizational challenges of the post-pandemic era. This paradigm posits that crises transcend mere operational disruptions, profoundly impacting psychological well-being and interpersonal dynamics. Consequently, it is imperative for leaders to cultivate environments wherein organizational members can articulate their adversities, secure necessary support, and actively engage in the recovery trajectory. Therefore, the efficacy of leadership is evaluated not solely by the capacity to sustain performance metrics, but equally by the ability to safeguard human capital and preserve social cohesion under organizational duress.

Drawing upon this philosophical synthesis, the present study delineates four primary foundations essential for adaptive strategic leadership. The first is strategic wisdom, which equips leaders to accurately interpret their environment and formulate interventions grounded in empirical realities. The second is moral integrity, which guarantees that the exercise of authority

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

serves the collective interest. The third encompasses exemplary conduct and empathy, which are vital for engendering trust and upholding human dignity. The fourth foundation is justice and social responsibility, ensuring that organizational decisions are not only internally advantageous but also cognizant of their broader societal implications.

Tipurić (2022) further offers a critical perspective on the necessity of evaluating the moral and power dimensions within strategic leadership. The efficacy of a strategy is inextricably linked to the exertion of power and its subsequent organizational ramifications. Consequently, leaders who attain their objectives through methods that undermine trust and organizational culture cannot be deemed comprehensively successful. Hence, classical philosophy and contemporary strategic leadership discourse can be synthesized through the postulate that power ought to be exercised to foster organizational capacity and collective welfare, rather than to consolidate personal interests.

The discourse presented by Garretsen and Stoker (2025b) similarly underscores the significance of humility in leadership. Humility does not imply a deficit in assertiveness or decision-making acumen; rather, it denotes the cognitive awareness that a leader does not possess absolute knowledge or infallibility. It is imperative for leaders to remain receptive to feedback, continuously evaluate their decisions, and concede their limitations. Such a disposition is particularly pertinent when navigating uncertainty, as dynamic environmental shifts can yield novel information that fundamentally alters preceding rationales. Consequently, humility emerges as an integral component of a leader's sagacity and moral fortitude.

Consequently, an optimal model of adaptive strategic leadership should not merely facilitate organizational survival amid uncertainty, but also ensure steadfast adherence to the principles of humanity and justice. An adaptive strategy devoid of ethical grounding is susceptible to devolving into mere opportunism, whereas ethical frameworks lacking strategic acumen risk becoming ineffectual idealism incapable of resolving empirical challenges. The integration of these dual paradigms constitutes the fundamental basis for establishing leadership characterized by strategic direction, resilience, sagacity, and social legitimacy.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that adaptive strategic leadership is a highly relevant approach in navigating an increasingly complex, dynamic, and uncertain global order. Geopolitical uncertainties, economic shifts, technological disruptions, environmental crises, and the

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

complexities of international relations require leaders to develop strategic capabilities that are oriented not only toward goal attainment but also toward anticipating change and maintaining organizational sustainability. Leadership can no longer be exercised solely through formal authority and administrative control; rather, it necessitates systemic thinking, adaptive intelligence, flexibility, and the capacity to make decisions based on comprehensive information and prudent judgment.

The findings reveal four primary dimensions in the development of adaptive strategic leadership. First, the ability to comprehend global complexity through environmental analysis, risk anticipation, and flexible strategic planning. Second, the ability to cultivate adaptive capacity through innovation, organizational learning, collaboration, communication, and institutional resilience. Third, the ability to draw lessons from contemporary global leaders, particularly regarding decisiveness, empathetic communication, transparency, diplomacy, and social legitimacy. Fourth, the ability to integrate classical leadership philosophies that emphasize wisdom, moral exemplification, justice, responsibility, and the ethical exercise of power.

The experiences of contemporary global leaders demonstrate that successfully navigating crises requires a balance between rapid decision-making and collective engagement. Meanwhile, the philosophies of Sun Tzu, Confucianism, and Aristotle provide a foundational premise that strategic capabilities must be accompanied by wisdom, character, and moral responsibility. The integration of these two perspectives yields the understanding that an effective leader is not merely one who succeeds in overcoming competition or retaining power, but rather one who is capable of building resilient organizations, sustaining trust, and directing change for the collective good.The implementation of these research findings should be oriented toward cultivating leadership competencies predicated upon strategic vision, adaptive intelligence, continuous learning, and institutional resilience. It is imperative that governmental bodies, corporate entities, and educational institutions construct leadership development frameworks that assimilate decision-making training, crisis simulation, ethical reinforcement, strategic communication, and collaborative practices. Furthermore, core principles including integrity, equity, transparency, and social responsibility must be intrinsically embedded within all decision-making processes and performance evaluations. Ultimately, adaptive strategic leadership can serve as the foundational paradigm for establishing organizational and public governance that is responsive, inclusive, ethically resolute, and sustainable amidst pervasive global transformations.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

REFERENCES

Adobor, H., Darbi, W. P. K., & Damoah, O. B. O. (2021). Strategy in the era of "swans": The role of strategic leadership under uncertainty and unpredictability. *Journal of Strategy and Management*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-09-2020-0242

Balasubramanian, S., & Fernandes, C. (2022). Confirmation of a crisis leadership model and its effectiveness: Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cogent Business & Management, 9*(1), Article 2022824. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021.2022824

Boorman, S. A. (2024). *Three faces of Sun Tzu: Analyzing Sun Tzu's Art of War, a manual on strategy*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108686877

Craig, G. (2021). Kindness and control: The political leadership of Jacinda Ardern in the Aotearoa New Zealand COVID-19 media conferences. *Journalism and Media, 2*(2), 288–304. https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia2020017

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2023). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

DeLisi, P. S. (2021). *Strategic leadership and systems thinking*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003099154

Endaryono, T., Kurniawan, H. T., & Tjiptoherijanto, P. (2024). Strategic leadership and organizational resilience capabilities on the healthcare system of Indonesia. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/20479700.2024.2365560

Evanirosa, Bagenda, C., Hasnawati, Annova, F., Azizah, K., Nursaeni, Maisarah, Asdiana, Ali, R., Shobri, M., & Adnan, M. (2022). *Metode penelitian kepustakaan (Library research)*. Media Sains Indonesia.

Förster, C., Paparella, C., Duchek, S., & Güttel, W. H. (2022). Leading in the paradoxical world of crises: How leaders navigate through crises. *Schmalenbach Journal of Business Research, 74*, 631–657. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41471-022-00147-7

Garretsen, H., & Stoker, J. I. (2025a). Effective leadership in turbulent times. In H. Garretsen & J. I. Stoker (Eds.), *Leadership in a turbulent era* (pp. 84–107). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035327294.00012

Garretsen, H., & Stoker, J. I. (2025b). In the end… as scary as it gets, it's just turbulence. In H. Garretsen & J. I. Stoker (Eds.), *Leadership in a turbulent era* (pp. 246–257). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035327294.00021

Garretsen, H., & Stoker, J. I. (2025c). Introduction on leadership and turbulence. In H. Garretsen & J. I. Stoker (Eds.), *Leadership in a turbulent era* (pp. 1–18). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035327294.00007

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Garretsen, H., & Stoker, J. I. (2025d). Leadership in turbulent times: How do leaders respond? In H. Garretsen & J. I. Stoker (Eds.), *Leadership in a turbulent era* (pp. 41–61). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035327294.00010

Garretsen, H., & Stoker, J. I. (2025e). Ten leadership implications and five pieces of follow-up advice. In H. Garretsen & J. I. Stoker (Eds.), *Leadership in a turbulent era* (pp. 126–131). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035327294.00014

Garretsen, H., & Stoker, J. I. (2025f). The seductive call for "new" leaders. In H. Garretsen & J. I. Stoker (Eds.), *Leadership in a turbulent era* (pp. 62–83). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035327294.00011

Gavalas, A. (2024). Identifying key elements for evaluating organizations' complex adaptive leadership readiness (CAL-R framework): Paving the way for a measurement tool development. *Journal of Management Development, 43*(1), 87–102. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-07-2023-0220

Gunawan, I. (2021). *Metode penelitian kualitatif: Teori dan praktik*. Bumi Aksara.

Haavisto, V. E., & Linge, T. T. (2022). Internal crisis communication and Nordic leadership: The importance of transparent and participative communication in times of crisis. *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism, 22*(4–5), 331–356. https://doi.org/10.1080/15022250.2022.2123038

Hafner, C. A., & Sun, T. (2021). The "team of 5 million": The joint construction of leadership discourse during the COVID-19 pandemic in New Zealand. *Discourse, Context & Media, 43*, Article 100523. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcm.2021.100523

Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2023). Compassionate leadership. *School Leadership & Management, 43*(3), 185–188. https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2023.2235540

Högberg, K. (2022). Adapt or die: Leadership resilience during crisis. *International Journal of Advanced Corporate Learning, 15*(2), 4–15. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijac.v15i2.30683

Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2023). *The leadership challenge: How to make extraordinary things happen in organizations* (7th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Kremer Sott, M., & Sott Bender, M. (2025). The role of adaptive leadership in times of crisis: A systematic review and conceptual framework. *Merits, 5*(1), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/merits5010002

Magfiroh, H., Tahol, T. O., Anisah, S., & Anshori, M. I. (2023). Kepemimpinan adaptif: Sebuah studi literatur. *Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 1*(3), 118–136. https://doi.org/10.59031/jmsc.v1i3.162

Maryadi, A., Hermiati, N., Purnamasari, P., Afandi, D. R., & Kosim, M. (2024). Peran kepemimpinan strategis pada sektor UMKM terhadap hubungan keterampilan wirausaha dan motivasi berwirausaha terhadap kinerja bisnis. *Journal of Management: Small and Medium Enterprises, 17*(2), 783–795. https://doi.org/10.35508/jom.v17i2.17267

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Moch, & Said, F. (2022). Strategi kepemimpinan krisis Indonesia dalam menghadapi tantangan global untuk pemulihan ekonomi. *Jurnal Penelitian Politik, 19*(2), 111–128. https://doi.org/10.14203/jpp.v19i2.1221

Morini, C., & Chiapponi, F. (2025). Framing the war: Volodymyr Zelenskyy's rhetorical reaction to Russian invasion as a tool of crisis management. *Europe-Asia Studies*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/09668136.2025.2587045

Musyarofi, A., Salwa, S., Fajariya, U. N., & Kusumaningrum, H. (2024). Kepemimpinan strategis dalam menciptakan organisasi belajar dan organisasi etis. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan, 1*(3). https://doi.org/10.62383/hardik.v1i3.462

Nahnudin, N., Fauji, A., & Firdaos, R. (2023). Tipe dan ide kepemimpinan adaptif terhadap solusi konflik organisasi. *Tadbir Muwahhid, 7*(1), 85–108. https://doi.org/10.30997/jtm.v7i1.8127

Narahara, S. (2024). Meningkatkan pertahanan laut: Kepemimpinan strategis dalam meningkatkan pertahanan Alur Laut Kepulauan Indonesia (ALKI). *Jurnal Pertahanan dan Bela Negara, 14*(1), 33–45. https://doi.org/10.33172/jpbh.v14i1.19262

Niedenführ, M., & Hennig, A. (2022). Confucianism and ethics in management. In C. Neesham, M. Reihlen, & D. Schoeneborn (Eds.), *Handbook of philosophy of management*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76606-1_48

Ningsih, S. J., Wadjdi, A. F., & Budiyanto, S. (2022). Kepemimpinan strategis dalam pertahanan Indonesia. *CITIZEN: Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin Indonesia, 2*(1), 88–95. https://doi.org/10.53866/jimi.v2i1.41

Northouse, P. G. (2025). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (10th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Oruh, E. S., Mordi, C., Dibia, C. H., & Ajonbadi, H. A. (2021). Exploring compassionate managerial leadership style in reducing employee stress level during COVID-19 crisis: The case of Nigeria. *Employee Relations, 43*(6), 1362–1381. https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-06-2020-0302

Peltonen, T. (2022). Practical and theoretical wisdom in management scholarship: Re-assessing the use and appropriations of Aristotle's philosophy. *Philosophy of Management, 21*(2), 163–178. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40926-021-00179-9

Pratiwi, R., & Prabowo, M. S. (2023). *Buku referensi kepemimpinan yang adaptif dan responsif*. Literasi Nusantara Abadi.

Ramachandran, S., Balasubramanian, S., James, W. F., et al. (2024). Whither compassionate leadership? A systematic review. *Management Review Quarterly, 74*, 1473–1557. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-023-00340-w

Singh, A., Lim, W. M., Jha, S., Kumar, S., & Ciasullo, M. V. (2023). The state of the art of strategic leadership. *Journal of Business Research, 158*, Article 113676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113676

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Soelistya, D. (2021). *Buku ajar: Kepemimpinan strategis*. Nizamia Learning Center.

Su, M. (2024). Adaptive leadership in times of crisis: Exploring situational responses and contingent factors at sub-national levels. *Journal of General Management*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1177/03063070241250092

Suhardono, E. (2024). *Kepemimpinan strategis*. Literasi Nusantara Abadi.

Syamsir, S., Saputra, N., & Mulia, R. A. (2025). Leadership agility in a VUCA world: A systematic review, conceptual insights, and research directions. *Cogent Business & Management, 12*(1), Article 2482022. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2025.2482022

Tipurić, D. (2022). *The enactment of strategic leadership: A critical perspective*. Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-03799-3

Van Wart, M., Macaulay, M., & Haberstroh, K. (2022). Jacinda Ardern's compassionate leadership: A case of social change leadership in action. *International Journal of Public Sector Management, 35*(6), 641–658. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-03-2022-0071

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License